Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary

East Rio Arriba SWCD-Tribal

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: East Rio Arriba SWCD-Tribal		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:		Telephone:
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides, excess salinity in impaired watersheds consistent with TMDL's where available as well as the reduction of groundwater contamination or point source such as contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	Yes O or No O
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in the conservation of a considerable amount of ground or surface water resources?	
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural land?	
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	Yes O or No O

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	
1. Irr. Crop #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	Yes O or No O
2. Irr. Crop #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	Yes O or No O
3. Irr. Crop #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	Yes O or No O
4. Irr. Crop #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	Yes O or No O
5. Irr. Crop #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	Yes O or No O
6. Grazing #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	Yes O or No O
7. Grazing #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	Yes O or No O
8. Grazing #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	Yes O or No O
9. Grazing #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	Yes O or No O
10. Grazing #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	Yes O or No O

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. GR #1 Mininum local issue score must be 75 points to be concidered for funding.	
2. GR #2 Is the operation going to convert from a Continous Use to Seasonal Use?	Yes O or No O
3. GR #3 Is the operation going to convert from either Seasonal to Seasonal Rotation?	
4. GR #4 Will the proposed grazing system operation result in a deferment of 25-50% of the Growing season?	
5. GR #5 Will the proposed grazing operation result in a deferment period of 51-90% of the growing season?	
6. GR #6 Are their planned practices to protect and manage riparian areas in this operation(fence, tree & shrub establishment, range planting,grade stabelization structure)	
7. GR #7 Does operation have Class A, B, C weed(s) and is, or willing to address weeds with SWCD and Extension?	

8. GR #8 Will planned treatments bring the planned land units to an RMS level management?	Yes O or No O
9. GR #9 Does the applicant have a current EQIP contract, that is not being applied or on schedule?	
10. GR #10 Has applicant had an EQIP contract that was terminated or cancelled without good justification?	Yes O or No O
11. GR #11 Will this treatment include practices that will address sheet and rill erosion(diversion, pond, grade stablization structure, critical area planting)	
12. GR #12 Is the client going to apply a minimum of two practices listed that result in a reduction of soil erosion and enhances grazing lands? (Fence, Livestock water facility, grade stabilization structure, brush management, range planting, tree & shrub establishment?	
13. GR #13 Is the client going to apply a minimum of three practices listed above?	Yes O or No O
14. Irr.Crop #1 Minimum local issue score must be 50 points to be cocidered for funding.	Yes O or No O
15. Irr.Crop #2 Will the treatment improve irrigation efficiency by at least 15%	Yes O or No O
16. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 15-25%	Yes O or No O
17. Irr.Crop #4 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 26-35%	Yes O or No O
18. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40%	Yes O or No O
19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%	Yes O or No O
20. Irr.Crop #7Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)?	
21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?	Yes O or No O
22. Irr.Crop #9 Producer willing to document irrigation usage after system is applied?	Yes O or No O
23. Irr.Crop #10 Does landowner have Class A, B, or C weed(s) on land treated, and is or willing to address weed issue with SWCD and Extension?	Yes O or No O
24. Irr.Crop #11 Will this treatment bring the planned land units to an RMS level management?	Yes O or No O
25. Irr.Crop #12 Does the applicant have a current EQIP contract, that is not being applied or on schedule?	Yes O or No O
26. Irr.Crop #13 Does the applicant have a current EQIP contract, that is not being applied or on schedule?	Yes O or No O

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
Ranking Score	
Efficiency:	
Local Issues:	
State Issues:	
National Issues:	
Final Ranking Score:	

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

NRCS Designated Conservationist:	Applicant Signature Required for Contract Development:
Signature Date:	Signature Date: